EEDAL'24

Behavioral Patterns in Home Energy Reports:

Seasonal and Regional Analysis in Japan's Varied Climates

Sho Hirayama, Takahiro Tsurusaki, Tsubasa Kobayashi, Hidetoshi Nakagami

9th October 2024



Jyukankyo Research Institute Inc.

1. Introduction Background and Objectives



Home Energy Reports (HERs):

- Provide personalized feedback to households on their energy consumption.
- Include social comparisons and energy-saving tips.
- Reduce energy consumption by an average of 2%.

Knowledge Gap:

 How do the energy-saving impacts of HERs vary across regions, seasons, and heating systems in Japan?

Our Approach:

JYURI

- Field Trial: Distributed HERs to 300,000 households across five diverse regions in Japan.
- Data Analysis: Assessed energy savings based on climate, season, and energy contract types.



Source: https://www.mlit.go.jp/common/001627028.pdf

2

2. Method **Example of the Home Energy Report used in this study**



UtilityCo

Neighbor comparison applying "social norms"

Home Energy Report

July 20, 2018 Customer Number 100001 We are pleased to provide you with a report on your energy usage and tips for saving energy and money. Please use this report as a guide to try energy-saving actions that can reduce your household's utility bills and CO2 emissions.

http://www.utility.com



All Neighbors: Approximately 100 occupied, nearby homes that are similar to you. Efficient Neighbors: The most efficient 20 % from the "All Neighbors" group.



Why not discuss energy savings?

Why not discuss energy saving at home this summer? You may notice unexpected waste in the discussion, such as discussing the temperature setting of the airconditioner or setting a family rule to remember to switch off lights and equipment that are not in use.



Small Saving Tips

Remove anything covering the

JYURI

outdoor unit of the air conditioner Save up to ¥1,000 per year

そらたん

Replacing refrigerator door gaskets Save up to ¥3,000 per year



Personal Tracker

2.000

1,500

1.000

0 kWh

500



Last 6 Months Neighbor Trend



Contact Information

Utility Co. xx-xxxx-xxxx

本レポートの内容や、本事業に関する詳細やよくあるご質問については、特設サイト(https://j-nudge.jp/her)をご参照く その1、1013日、1000日、





3

Copyright © 2007, 2013, Oracle and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved

2. Method Overview of Field Sites



- Residential customers from five energy companies were selected as field sites.
- Regions cover different climates, assessed by Heating Degree Days (HDDs) and Cooling Degree Days (CDDs) during the one-year baseline period.

Overview of Field Sites							
Site Number	1	2	3	4	5		
Region	Hokkaido	Tohoku	Hokuriku	Kinki	Okinawa		
Utility company	Hokkaido Gas	Tohoku EPCO	Hokuriku EPCO	Kansai EPCO	Okinawa EPCO		
Degree days(DDs)							
Heating DDs	3,486	2,304	2,043	1,506	92		
Cooling DDs	18	*** 61	*** 177	352	736		
Target segment	a s	B	P	Ģ	Ę.		
Energy type	Natural Gas	Electricity	Electricity	Electricity	Electricity		
Contract type	HWH or FFH ^{*1}	TOU ^{*2}	TOU or STD ^{*3}	STD	STD		
Housing type	Unspecified	Detached house	Detached house	Unspecified	Unspecified		
Sample size							
Treatment	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000	60,000		
Control	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000	30,000		

*1 HWH: Hot-Water Heater, FFH: Forced Flue Heater, *2 TOU: Time-of-Use, *3 STD: Standard metered Rate

2. Method Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT)

JYURI



- **RCT Design**: RCT with 90,000 households: 60,000 in the treatment group, 30,000 in control. Households were selected based on energy type, contract type, and housing.
- **Opt-out Process**: Pre-notification letters sent in November 2017 with project details and opt-out instructions. Opt-out households stopped receiving HERs.
- **Analysis**: Treatment effects estimated for the entire treatment group, including opt-outs; households with incomplete data (e.g., move-outs) were excluded.



2. Method Estimation of the Energy-Saving Impact of HERs

- ATE (Average Treatment Effect): Represents the average energy savings in MJ for all households in the treatment group.
- Estimated using a Difference-in-Differences (DiD) approach.
- Regression analysis calculates the average energy-saving effect by comparing pre- and post-treatment energy usage between treatment and control groups



- AvgUse_i: average daily energy consumption for household i during the baseline period (one year) [MJ/day]
- AvgUseWini:average daily energy consumption for household i specifically during the winter months (December to March) [MJ/day]
- AvgUseSum_i: average daily energy consumption for household *i* during the summer months (June to September)) [MJ/day]
- ε_{it} :error term, capturing the unexplained variability in energy consumption

Equations for estimating the monthly ATE

Regional and Seasonal Variations of Energy-Saving Effects



- Statistically significant absolute energy reductions [MJ/day] were observed in nearly all months across all five sites.
- **Average Treatment Effect (ATE):**

3. Result

JYURI

- Stronger in **colder regions** (Sites 1–3).
- More pronounced in **winter** and **summer**, when energy consumption increase.



Note: The upper and lower dotted lines show the 95% confidence interval.

3. Result Monthly Percent Savings and Heating/Cooling Degree Days

- Monthly percent savings [%] ranged from approximately **1.0% to 2.5%**.
 - Despite fluctuations across all regions, continuous intervention resulted in sustained effects up to 18 months.
- Energy savings were particularly higher during the heating season.
 - During mid-season periods, higher savings were observed when heating demand decreased.



3. Result Energy Savings Effects by Water and Space Heating Systems



(1) Subgroup Settings by Contract Type for Site 3

- To examine how water/space heating systems impact energy savings from HERs by analyzing different contract types and winter usage levels.
- Subgroup by Contract Type:
 - Time-of-Use (TOU): Own electric water/space heaters using nighttime electricity.
 - Standard (STD): Use gas or kerosine water heater without electricity discounts.
- Subgroup by Winter Energy Usage:
 - High winter baseline: **Primarily electric heater**.
 - Low winter baseline : Likely using non-electric heater (e.g., gas, kerosene).

CONTRACT TYPE	WINTER BASELINE	MAIN SPACE HEATER	WATER HEATER		
TOU	Low	Gas or kerosine	Electric	The difference is electric water	
STD	Low	Gas or kerosine	Gas or kerosine	< heater	
TOU	High	Electric thermal storage heating systems	Electric	The difference is electric water	
STD	High	Other electric heaters	Gas or kerosine	AND storage space heater	

Subgroups Based on Contract Type and Winter Baseline for Site 3

Note: The top 50% of winter baseline consumption is considered High and the bottom 50% is considered Low

9

3. Result Energy Savings Effects by Water and Space Heating Systems



(2) Subgroup Analysis Results

JYURI

- **Low winter usage**: TOU households (with electric water heaters) had consistently lower reduction rates across all seasons compared to STD households (using gas/kerosene).
- High winter usage: TOU households (using electric thermal water / storage space heaters) showed lower reduction rates, especially in winter, compared to STD households.
- Households with electric thermal storage systems (TOU) had lower HER effectiveness, likely due to limited daily control or lack of the knowledge to make efficient setting.





- Field experiment: 300,000 households across 5 regions in Japan received HERs.
- Energy-saving effects: Verified through a randomized controlled trial (RCT) with statistical reliability from the first month at all sites.
- Monthly energy savings: Ranged from 1.0% to 2.5% over 18 months.
 - Applied to **natural gas** in Site 1 and **electricity** in Sites 2–5.
 - Significant reductions were observed for both energy types.
- Seasonal trend: Higher energy savings were observed during the heating season.
- Contract type analysis: Lower savings for households using electric thermal storage systems for water and space heating.